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Abstract
The SARS-CoV-2, or Coronavirus (COVID-19), epidemic began in Wuhan, China. Atypical pneumonia is the hallmark of COVID-19, a newly developing viral illness caused by SARS-CoV-2. More than 10 million individuals throughout the globe have contracted SARS-CoV-2 as of July 1, 2020. Combining recent exposure with symptoms including fever, sore throat, exhaustion, cough, and dyspnea is a classic case of COVID-19. A small percentage of people infected with COVID-19 (up to 5-10%) develop a serious and potentially fatal illness. The average annual death rate is around 2%. As a result, there is an immediate need for a highly targeted antiviral therapy. Ventilation, oxygenation, and hydration control are examples of routine supportive care. It is highly suggested that patients engage in ongoing clinical studies, which are seeking to determine the best effective medicine or treatment combination against the condition. Only in the context of randomised clinical trials can the safety and efficacy of antivirals be established. Several treatments, including monoclonal antibodies, convalescent plasma, vaccinations, and the antimalarials chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine, and favipiravir are now under investigation. Numerous therapy approaches have been developed with the hope of pinpointing the most beneficial routine. The purpose of this article is to provide a comprehensive overview of the research and detail the therapeutic approaches that have been employed for patients with COVID-19.
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Introduction:
The SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus (COVID-19), which has already spread over the globe, was first identified in Wuhan, China. The World Health Organisation (WHO) has recognised that the spread of COVID-19 is a major international health crisis. In addition to recent exposure, the classic signs of COVID-19 include a high temperature, sore throat, tiredness, cough, and dyspnea. The
number of cases that have been confirmed and suspected has been declining worldwide as a result of government initiatives and control measures and changes in individual behaviours (such as mask wearing and social isolation). However, the COVID-19 epidemic is still a serious concern for doctors, and the danger of spreading it has not been removed.

A small percentage of people infected with COVID-19 (up to 5-10%) develop a serious and potentially fatal illness. The average annual death rate is around 2%. As a result, there is an immediate need for a highly targeted antiviral therapy. Ventilation, oxygenation, and hydration control are examples of routine supportive care. Patients should be aggressively encouraged to participate in one of the many ongoing clinical studies looking for the best effective medicine or treatment combination against the condition. Only in the context of randomised clinical trials can the safety and efficacy of antivirals be shown. Several treatments, including antibodies that are monoclonal, antisense RNA, steroids, convalescent plasma, vaccinations, and the antimalarials chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine, and favipiravir are now under investigation. Numerous therapy approaches seek to identify the most productive treatment plan. “The purpose of this article is to provide a comprehensive overview of the research and detail the therapeutic approaches that have been employed for patients with COVID-19.”

The timing of convalescent plasma injection in COVID-19 is debatable, since it should be done as soon as feasible for maximum benefit but should also be targeted towards the most severe patients. “To this end, the evaluation of risk markers can be a useful tool for decision making by quickly tracing patients with an impending poor prognosis, who would benefit most from early intervention with convalescent plasma.” This is achieved by integrating medical (gender, years of age, comorbidities), biological aspects in an in-depth risk stratification. Potential new indicators include lymphocytopenia, high procalcitonin, high ferritin, high D-dimer, and high C-reactive protein.

a) Lopinavir-ritonavir is a viral protease inhibitor prescribed for the treatment of HIV-1 infection in people over the age of 2 when used in conjunction with other antiretroviral medications. SARS patients who took lopinavir-ritonavir in addition to ribavirin had lower virus loads and a lower probability of severe clinical outcomes (defined as acute respiratory distress syndrome [ARDS] or death) in an open label research conducted in 2004. A ribavirin-only treatment group from the past served as the study's comparator arm. Since patients were also receiving glucocorticoids and ribavirin, it was difficult to isolate the effects of lopinavir-ritonavir from the confounding effects of these other medications.

b) 127 patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection were randomly assigned to receive either 14 days of a "combination of lopinavir 400 mg and ritonavir 100 mg every 12 h, ribavirin 400 mg every 12 h, and three doses of 8 million international units of interferon beta-1b on alternate days (combination group) or 14 days of lopinavir 400 mg and ritonavir 100 mg every 12 h (control group)." The authors posited that patients with mild to severe disease may benefit from starting on a triple antiviral regimen right away. Especially in areas badly struck by the illness, a combination of
lopinavir-ritonavir alongside or without ribavirin has been suggested as a therapy option for new coronavirus.

c) “Cao et al. conducted a study in which patients whose oxygen saturation was 94% or lower (while breathing the surrounding air or a ratio of the partial pressure of the oxygen to the percentage of oxygen that was inspired (Fio2) was less than 300 mm Hg) were randomly assigned to receive either lopinavir-ritonavir (400 mg and 100 mg, respectively, twice a day for 14 days) in addition to the usual care, or standard of care alone.” One hundred and ninety-nine patients received the lopinavir-ritonavir combination while one hundred received the usual treatment. Time to improve clinical outcomes was the study's main goal, and it was not fulfilled. Since the median time to clinical improvement in “both groups was 16 days, there was no discernible advantage. Both groups had comparable 28-day mortality rates and similar proportions of patients with detectable viral RNA at many time periods. The authors conclude that there was no advantage seen with the combination in hospitalised patients with severe COVID-19 disease, but that further studies may help confirm or rule out any therapeutic benefit.” Clinical efficacy ratings might have been skewed because of the study's lack of blinding. The study's sample size was too small to draw attention to subtle differences.

d) “The effectiveness and safety of lopinavir/ritonavir in patients with mild/moderate COVID-19 was also evaluated in comparison to arbidol in a separate trial. Arbidol is an anti-influenza medication that blocks the fusion of the influenza virus with its host cell by binding to the viral hemagglutinin (HA).” It was employed in a clinical study against COVID-19 because it effectively suppressed SARS-CoV-2 infection in vitro.

e) “Multi-fold greater than in previous viral pneumonias/acute respiratory distress syndromes, the risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE) and, in particular, pulmonary embolism (PE) has emerged as a substantial concern associated with SARS-CoV-2 severe infection. 25-27% prevalence has been reported. The prognosis worsens with abnormal levels of hypercoagulability markers and low scores on the traditional VTE evaluation risk-assessment instruments.” Methods for Precisely Categorising Risk There are currently no approved VTE evaluation techniques, and it is recommended that all hospitalised patients use a uniform pharmaceutical thromboprophylactic therapy. “When there is active bleeding, when the platelet count is less than 25 109/L, or when the fibrinogen level is less than 0.5 g/L, low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) should be withheld. Individuals with a history of thrombocytopenia caused by heparin should receive unfractionated heparin or reduced-dose LMWH, as well as fondaparinux, when their creatinine clearance is less than 30 mL/minute. Patients in a critical state need a multimodal strategy that incorporates both pharmaceutical and mechanical interventions.”

f) “Drug-drug interactions between experimental medicines and antivirals are uncertain, thus direct intravenous anticoagulants (DOACs) and Vitamin K antagonists should be avoided. Although evidence are inadequate to support a step-up strategy with intermediate dosage LMWH in ICU-critically sick patients outside of
clinical trials, some organisations choose to go this route.”

g) Several neutralising monoclonal antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 are being tested in human clinical studies at the present time. These antibodies are mostly of the IgG1 subtype, target particular areas of the viral spike, and have a very long half-life. This suggests that a single infusion may be sufficient for administration. However, it is yet unclear how bioavailable COVID-19 is in afflicted tissues and organs.

Development of Vaccines for Protection and Prophylaxis

The process of creating a vaccine is very difficult, time-consuming, and costly. There is a lot of wasted time and effort involved in getting a licence to produce anything, since the process involves several procedures, inspections, and analyses. A new "pandemic paradigm" is needed, one that allows for quick beginnings and parallel step execution on a massive scale while yet maintaining technical flexibility and variety in the creation of a vaccine that is effective, safe, and well-tolerated. Major worldwide vaccine funding bodies are supporting the plethora of novel current initiatives to create a vaccine against SARS-CoV-2, which is remarkable considering the magnitude of the pandemic problem that has evolved.

“A unique experimental RNA-based vaccination (mRNA-1273) that utilises part of the S protein genetic code might reach clinical trials as soon as February 2020. Moderna Therapeutics (Cambridge, MA, USA) is developing it; they are a pharmaceutical business also working on the SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV vaccines, which will enable them to forego certain animal testing in the trial phase.” The research comprised 45 individuals (aged 18–55) who got two injections into the muscles (at 28 days) across three dosage levels (25, 100, 250 gs) in the phase I clinical trial, and the interim analysis findings were just disclosed. Both the 100 and 250 microgram dosages induced substantial elevations in virus-neutralizing antibodies, with the 100 microgram dose exhibiting the optimal balance of immunogenicity and reactivity. There are now 120 people in the experiment, all of whom are 55 or older.

“Using the S gene, Inovio Pharmaceuticals (Plymouth Meeting, PA, USA) has created INO-4800, a new DNA vaccine for MERS. Intradermal electroporation has been used in phase I clinical studies for this. This summer should see the beginning of a phase II/III clinical study.” Using their adenovirus vaccine platform established for Ebola vaccine and the S subunit, CanSino Biologics (Tianjin, China) has created a vaccine. A clinical trial of Ad5-nCov is now in the observational phase.

Because of what happened with H1N1, it's quite evident that we need cutting-edge research and production platforms that can accommodate unexpected infections (“X”). In recent years, such strategies have attracted investment from the vaccine and biotech industries. There must also be financial tools available to help fund the development of pandemic vaccines. A worldwide instrument is required to develop, manufacture, and deliver authorised vaccines on a massive scale to assure herd immunity.

**Hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin**: Hydroxychloroquine has been used for decades to treat rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, and as a prophylactic measure against malaria. Chloroquine's hydroxyl counterpart,
hydroxychloroquine, is a 4-aminoquinolone molecule. Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine are both 4-aminoquinolines, however they differ from other 4-aminoquinolines due to the presence of a basic side chain. In vitro studies have shown that hydroxychloroquine inhibits virus replication, but until recently, little was known about the drug's potential usefulness in actual clinical use. “The reason for continued usage in the prevention and treatment of COVID-19 infection was in vitro studies showing that hydroxychloroquine was efficacious against SARS-CoV-2 with a multifactorial mode of action. Most investigations were constructed around previous reports that hydroxychloroquine was more effective against SARS-CoV-2 than chloroquine. Recent months have seen a flurry of research on the use of hydroxychloroquine, either alone or in combination with azithromycin, to be treated of COVID-19.” Twenty individuals with severe COVID-19 illness were participated in a small, non-randomized research in France. Hydroxychloroquine, in combination with azithromycin, was used to treat all 20 patients. Researchers found that when combined with azithromycin, hydroxychloroquine significantly decreased SARS-CoV-2 burden. There were several issues that were pointed up as weaknesses in this research. Based on these findings, the same research team devised a non-randomized observational trial to assess the efficacy of hydroxychloroquine in combination with azithromycin in treating 80 individuals with COVID-19. Neither intubation nor mortality rates differed significantly across the groups, according to the multivariate analysis. A global analysis of 96,032 COVID-19 hospitalised patients published in The Lancet indicated that patients who took hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine with or without azithromycin had a greater risk of death and de-novo serious ventricular arrhythmias compared with no treatment. Most of these studies relied on very tiny case series and had other serious limitations. More importantly, these issues need large-scale, randomised, controlled clinical studies. According to the government body, the necessary legislative requirements for obtaining an EUA are no longer present. The ORCHID experiment, which assessed the effectiveness and safety of the medicine for hospitalised COVID-19 patients, was terminated on June 20, 2020, after the NIH declared that the research had failed to reveal any obvious advantage favouring the treatment arm.

**Conclusion**

Atypical pneumonia is the hallmark of COVID-19, a newly developing viral illness caused by SARS-CoV-2. More than 10 million individuals throughout the globe have contracted SARS-CoV-2 as of July 1, 2020. Basic and clinical research, as well as public health and therapeutic interventions, will be necessary to make progress in the prevention and successful treatment of COVID-19. “It is unclear at this time how the novel coronavirus causes disease. The majority of patients will have a self-limiting course, but a small percentage will develop severe or fatal disease. Multiple body systems may be affected by COVID-19. Inappropriate immune response and increased synthesis of cytokines have a role in the pathophysiology, along with significant lung inflammation and immunological insufficiency.” Therefore, antiviral and anti-proinflammatory cytokines and life-support treatments, monoclonal
antibodies, and passive immunotherapy are all being studied as potential therapeutic options, particularly for individuals with severe illness. The creation of a safe and effective vaccination is the most important step in preventing the spread of the virus, notwithstanding the significance of the treatment approach against the illness.
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